Table VII.B. 2.b. (1) (2000) Percent of private-sector enrollees that are enrolled in self-insured plans at establishments that offer health insurance by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State		Percent	t Full-Time Emp	Percent Low-Wage Employees **			
	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	<b>55. 0</b> %	55.0%	54. 3%	55. 0%	35. 4%	42. 8%	80. 8%
New England:							
Massachusetts	<b>56. 8</b> %	55. 9%	71.4%	25.0%	28. 3% *	49. 9%	81. 7%
New Hampshire	55. 1%	<b>57. 4</b> %	43.8%	51. 1%	30. 8%	49. 3%	77. 2%
Connecticut	49. 0%	50. 9%	47. 9%	25. 1% *	31. 1% *	33. 3%	72. 5%
Middle Atlantic:	10.0%	00.0%	211.070	20.10	01/ 1/0	00.0%	
New York	38. 7%	39. 8%	22. 2%	47. 2%	16. 5% *	30. 5%	62. 8%
New Jersev	60. 9%	62. 0%	45. 8%	65. 2%	47. 1%	46. 9%	86. 7%
Pennsyl vani a	49. 0%	50. 0%	50. 2%	19. 6% *	28. 9%	35. 9%	75. 4%
	49.0%	30.0%	JU. 2/0	19.0%	28. 9%	33. 9/0	73.4/0
East North Central:	F 4 70/	FF F0/	4.4 00/	F.O. 70/	0.5 00/	44 00/	<b>~~ ~</b> 0/
Ohi o	54. 7%	55. 5%	44. 6%	53. 7%	25. 3%	41.6%	77. 5%
Indi ana	71. 1%	72. 0%	66. 8%	66. 6%	53. 4%	58. 3%	94. 3%
Illinois	<b>58. 0</b> %	<b>59</b> . <b>2</b> %	41.0%	<b>58. 6</b> %	28. 4%	51.6%	78. 2%
Mi chi gan	61. 5%	62.6%	39. 4%	70. 5%	40. 6%	43. 8%	90. 1%
Wi sconsi n	<b>56</b> . 3%	61.6%	30. 1%	<b>55.0</b> %	29. 8%	48. 7%	81.4%
West North Central:							
Mi nnesota	57. 9%	56. 8%	45. 2%	72. 7%	66. 5%	<b>52.9</b> %	65.6%
Iowa	<b>57. 9</b> %	58. 8%	54. 1%	47. 8%	48. 7%	52. 1%	73.0%
Mi ssouri	58. 4%	<b>59. 4</b> %	51. 1%	47. 6%	25. 9%	42. 1%	86. 4%
Nebraska	61. 1%	68. 0%	25. 0% *	13. 4% *	20. 2%	41.6%	92. 4%
Kansas	43. 5%	42. 4%	46. 8%	62. 4%	30. 5%	45. 4%	44. 4% *
North Dakota	53. 7%	56. 6%	52. 7%	14. 9% *	30. 7%	40. 7%	81. 4%
South Dakota	58. 2%	59. 8%	52. 7% 51. 8%	52. 3%		40. 7% 50. 5%	81. 4% 82. 8%
	38. 2%	59. 8%	31.8%	32. 3%	34.0%	30. 3%	82.8%
South Atlantic:	~~ O0/	~~ ~~	~~ 40/	<b>70.0</b> %	00.00	00.00	00.00
Maryland	57. 2%	57. 5%	57. 4%	<b>52. 0</b> %	20. 0%	33. 6%	90. 9%
Vi rgi ni a	<b>63. 6</b> %	<b>65</b> . 3%	<b>55.</b> 5%	<b>56.</b> 1%	<b>58. 6</b> %	49.6%	90. 9%
West Virginia	<b>63. 8</b> %	<b>65. 4</b> %	<b>54. 6</b> %	38. 3%	37. 2%	48.8%	89. 7%
North Carolina	64. 9%	64. 5%	64. 2%	74. 5%	42. 3%	53. 4%	91.0%
South Carolina	<b>66.</b> 0%	68. 2%	31. 7% *	<b>68.</b> 7%	27. 5%	61. 9%	89. 3%
Georgi a	63.6%	65. 1%	46. 7%	<b>52.9</b> %	<b>26</b> . <b>2</b> % *	48. 7%	90. 9%
Fl ori da	47. 9%	41.0%	76. 1%	67. 9%	38. 4%	29. 2%	<b>78</b> . <b>4</b> %
East South Central:							
Kentucky	63. 7%	64.0%	68. 6%	39. 3%	29. 7%	43.3%	90. 8%
Tennessee	64. 9%	64. 1%	65. 6%	78. 9%	61. 2%	46. 6%	90. 3%
Al abama	58. 3%	59. <b>4</b> %	45. 7%	28. 3%	33. 8%	47. 2%	91. 3%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	66. 9%	68. 4%	52. 1%	43. 8% *	48. 8%	62. 5%	85. 8%
West South Central:	00. 9/0	08. 4/0	J. 1/0	43. 8/0	46. 6%	02. 3/0	03. 0/0
	F7 00/	FO FW	F7 00/	07 40/	F.O. 70/	40. 50/	75 00/
Arkansas	57. 6%	58. 5%	57. 0%	37. 4%	52. 7%	43. 5%	75. 6%
Loui si ana	63. 9%	63. 1%	76. 2%	14. 8% *	36. 8%	54. 4%	91. 8%
0kl ahoma	60. 4%	61. 9%	40. 8%	48. 5%	30. 4%	54. 3%	79. 3%
Texas	64. 4%	63. 7%	<b>68.</b> 9%	73.6%	38. 8%	<b>54. 0</b> %	<b>85</b> . 5%
Mountain:							
Colorado	<b>62</b> . <b>6</b> %	62. 3%	<b>65</b> . 3%	64. 2%	45. 8%	41.8%	87. 5%
New Mexico	42.8%	46. 1%	8. 2% *	53. 5%	36. 9%	36. 1%	<b>54</b> . <b>0</b> %
Ari zona	55. 1%	44.0%	87. 8%	29. 1% *	19. 7% *	40. 9%	84. 4%
Utah	50. 7%	51.1%	60. 9%	23. 9% *	19. 5% *	34. 4%	76. 4%
Pacific:	00	01.1.0	33. 370	20.0.3	20.00	31. 1.0	. 3. 1/0
Washi ngton	46. 1%	45.8%	31. 0%	66. 2%	48.0%	33. 7%	87. 8%
Oregon	42. 1%	42. 3%	42. 9%	38. 2% *	35. 9%	29. 3%	78. 0%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	42. 1% 45. 8%					29. 3% 34. 4%	
California		44. 2%	54. 6%	55. 7%	34. 7%		72. 5%
States not shown separately	49. 0%	50. 5%	45. 1%	23.6% *	27. 1%	40. 3%	81. 9%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
\*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.

Table VII.B. 2.b. (1) (2000) Standard error for percent of private-sector enrollees that are enrolled in self-insured plans at establishments that offer health insurance by proportion of employees who are full-time or low-wage and State: United States, 2000 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State		Percent	t Full-Time Empl	Percent Low-Wage Employees **			
	Total	75% or more	50-74%	less than 50 %	50% or more	less than 50%	Unknown
United States	1. 17%	1. 28%	2.96%	2. 04%	1. 04%	1. 70%	1. 62%
New England:							
Massachusetts	6. 88%	7. 19%	14. 43%	5. 43%	11. 14% *	7. 77%	9. 44%
New Hampshire	5. 20%	6. 33%	10. 25%	6. 24%	8. 74%	5. 06%	8. 23%
Connecticut	3. 49%	3. 82%	8. 57%	8. 90% *	9. 88% *	4. 14%	8. 14%
Middle Atlantic:		27 211.7				_,	
New York	3. 05%	3. 37%	4. 41%	10. 97%	5. 06% *	4. 15%	6. 19%
New Jersev	5. 16%	6. 08%	10. 57%	14. 64%	11. 14%	6. 21%	6. 57%
Pennsyl vani a	3. 53%	4. 33%	10. 21%	5. 92% *	8. 10%	4. 53%	6. 59%
East North Central:	3. 33/0	4. 33%	10. 21/0	J. 92/0	8. 10%	4. 55%	0. 33/0
Ohi o	2. 58%	2. 70%	7. 87%	9. 35%	6. 49%	3. 75%	4. 95%
Indi ana	3. 22%	3. 40%	13. 55%	15. 64%	6. 69%	7. 06%	4. 70%
Illinois	4. 25%	4. 03%	11. 68%	9. 09%	7. 38%	6. 16%	5. 26%
Mi chi gan	3. 23%	4. 16%	11. 62%	14. 39%	7. 64%	3. 62%	4. 38%
Wi sconsi n	2. 91%	3. 77%	8. 73%	9. 33%	6. 70%	3. 82%	6. 66%
West North Central:							
Mi nnesota	5. 82%	6. 81%	8. 90%	11. 67%	9. 60%	5. 70%	10. 06%
I owa	2. 72%	3. 01%	9. 29%	10. 43%	5. 50%	4. 10%	8. 15%
Mi ssouri	5. 64%	6. 01%	11.01%	13. 90%	6. 67%	5. 96%	6. 23%
Nebraska	5. 45%	5. 69%	10. 92% *	7. 24% *	5. 64%	3. 97%	9. 01%
Kansas	6. 39%	6. 67%	10. 82%	13. 47%	7. 91%	5. 84%	13. 45%
North Dakota	4. 83%	6. 26%	8. 68%	4. 72% *	4. 24%	7. 78%	10. 26%
South Dakota	5. 25%	5. 46%	9. 01%	8. 62%	7. 38%	6. 41%	10. 77%
South Atlantic:							
Maryl and	6. 80%	6. 95%	12. 29%	9. 26%	4. 76%	7. 05%	7. 16%
Vi rgi ni a	3. 63%	4. 88%	10. 02%	12. 00%	9. 24%	6. 14%	5. 70%
West Virginia	6. 02%	6. 38%	9. 97%	10. 20%	9. 13%	6. 64%	6. 93%
North Carolina	4. 27%	4. 28%	13. 17%	11. 33%	7. 38%	7. 24%	2. 31%
South Carolina	4. 27% 6. 02%	4. 28% 7. 00%	9. 64% *	13. 83%	6. 14%	8. 77%	2. 31% 5. 65%
	4. 91%	7. 00% 4. 69%	12. 61%	13. 63% 12. 67%	8. 62% *	7. 86%	3. 69%
Georgi a							
Flori da	3. 67%	3. 09%	13. 90%	15. 04%	8. 77%	4. 27%	5. 94%
East South Central:	0.00%	4 470/	40.070/	0.04%	<b>7</b> 000	4 000	0 70%
Kentucky	3. 66%	4. 47%	10. 97%	9. 84%	7. 83%	4. 26%	3. 78%
Tennessee	3. 52%	3. 77%	13.67%	11. 51%	11.06%	4. 74%	3. 11%
Al abama	4. 08%	4. 56%	10. 86%	7. 39%	6. 31%	5. 58%	1. 80%
Mi ssi ssi ppi	5. 41%	5. 48%	11. 56%	14. 90% *	9. 27%	5. 79%	9. 88%
West South Central:							
Arkansas	2.81%	3. 02%	9. 62%	8. 37%	8. 12%	4. 68%	2. 18%
Loui si ana	4. 61%	5. 57%	16. 34%	13. 61% *	6. 87%	6. 08%	5. 94%
0kl ahoma	5. 00%	5. 60%	11.57%	12. 59%	4. 53%	9. 10%	5. 56%
Texas	3. 11%	2. 81%	12. 26%	9. 54%	5. 95%	5. 14%	5. 03%
Mountain:							
Col orado	4. 09%	5. 11%	12.97%	16. 59%	10. 61%	6. 67%	5. 81%
New Mexico	3. 39%	4. 67%	6. 59% *	14. 47%	9. 51%	6. 71%	10. 07%
Ari zona	5. 47%	6. 06%	15. 15%	8. 98% *	8. 97% *	6. 44%	10. 27%
Utah	3. 34%	4. 43%	12. 85%	9. 12% *	8. 00% *	5. 32%	5. 93%
	3. 34%	4. 43%	12.00%	9. 1270	o. 00% **	J. 3270	5. 93%
Pacific:	0 000/	F 050/	0.000/	14 050/	0.00%	4 050/	0.400/
Washi ngton	3. 93%	5. 35%	8. 99%	14. 95%	9. 28%	4. 05%	9. 49%
Oregon	4. 88%	5. 91%	11. 15%	13. 28% *	8. 21%	5. 82%	9. 33%
Cal i forni a	2. 65%	3. 20%	8. 91%	9. 46%	6. 24%	2. 92%	4. 01%
States not shown separately	4. 05%	4. 54%	7. 15%	9. 39% *	6. 21%	4. 74%	3. 81%

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 2000 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
\*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The definition of low-wage employees changed in 2000. These data are not comparable to prior year's estimates. See Technical Appendix for details.